


Bremen



Advanced Computer Graphics Boundary Representations for Graphical Models





G. Zachmann

University of Bremen, Germany


cgvr.cs.uni-bremen.de

- How to store objects in versatile and efficient data structures?



- Definition *Boundary-Representation (B-Rep)*:
Objects "consist" of
 1. Triangles, quadrangles, and polygons, i.e., **geometry**; and
 2. Incidence and adjacency relationships, i.e., **connectivity ("topology")**
- By contrast, there are also representations that try to model the volume directly, or that consist only of individual points


Definitions: Graphs



- A **graph** is a pair $G=(V, E)$, where $V=\{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}\}$ is a non-empty set of n different **nodes (points, vertices)** and E is a set of **edges** (v_i, v_j)
- When V is a (discrete) subset of \mathbb{R}^d with $d \geq 2$, then $G=(V, E)$ is called a **geometric graph**
- Two edges/nodes are called **neighboring** or **adjacent**, iff they share a common node/edge
- If $e=(v_i, v_j)$ is an edge in G , then e and v_i are called **incident** (dito for e und v_j ; v_i and v_j are called **neighboring** or **adjacent**)
- In the following, edges will be *undirected* edges, and consequently we will denote them just by $v_i v_j$
- The **degree** of a node/vertex := number of incident edges

Polygons

- A **polygon** is a geometric graph $P=(V, E)$, where $V=\{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 2$, and $E=\{(v_0, v_1), \dots, (v_{n-1}, v_0)\}$
- Nodes are called **vertices** (sometimes points or corners)



- A polygon is called
 - **flat**, if all vertices lie in the same plane;
 - **simple**, if it is flat and if the *intersection of every two edges* in E is either empty or a vertex in V , and if every vertex is incident to exactly two edges (i.e., if the polygon does not have self intersections).
- By definition, we will consider only **closed** polygons

Mesh (Polygonal Mesh)




- Let M be a set of closed, simple polygons P_i ;
 let $V = \bigcup_i V_i$ $E = \bigcup_i E_i$

- M is called a **mesh** iff
 - the intersection of two polygons in M is either empty, a point $v \in V$, or an edge $e \in E$; and
 - each edge $e \in E$ belongs to at least one polygon
 (no **dangling** edges)

- The set of all edges, belonging to one polygon **only**, is called the **border** of the mesh


- A mesh with no border is called a **closed** mesh

- The set of all points V and edges E of a mesh constitute a graph, too








First Explicit Application of a Mesh for a Music Video



Kraftwerk: *Musique non stop*, 1986. Music video by Rebecca Allen.

Definition: Polyhedron


- A mesh is called **polyhedron**, if
 1. each edge $e \in E$ is incident to exactly two polygons (i.e., the mesh is closed); and
 2. no subset of the mesh fulfills condition #1.



- The polygons are also called *facets / faces (Facetten)*
- **Theorem** (w/o proof):
Each polyhedron P partitions space into three subsets: its **surface**, its **interior**, and its **exterior**.

The Most Naive Data Structure for Meshes

- Array of polygons; each polygon = array of vertices
- Example:






- Problems:
 - Vertices occur several times!
 - Waste of memory, problems with animations, ...
 - How to find all faces, incident to a given vertex?
 - Different array sizes for polygons with different numbers of vertices

- Each facet of a mesh can be **oriented** by the definition of a **vertex order**
 - Each facet can have exactly *two* orientations

- Two adjacent facets have the **same orientation**, if the common edge is traversed in opposite directions, when the two facets are traversed according to their orientation


- The orientation determines the **surface normal** of a facet. By convention, it is obtained using the right-hand-rule



- A mesh is called **orientable**, if all facets *can* be oriented such that every two adjacent facets have the **same orientation**
 - The mesh is called **oriented**, if all facets actually do have the same orientation

- A mesh is called **non-orientable**, if there are **always** two adjacent facets that have opposite orientation, no matter how the orientation of all facets is chosen

- Theorems (w/o proof):
 - Each *non-orientable* surface that is embedded in *three-dimensional* space and closed must have a self-intersection
 - The surface of a polyhedron is always orientable




Digression: the Möbius Strip in the Arts



Möbius Strip II, woodcut, 1963





Max Bill



Interlocked Gears,
Michael Trott, 2001



Is the Escher Knot an Orientable Mesh or Not?




<http://homepages.sover.net/~tlongtin>

Definition: Homeomorphism


- **Homeomorphism** = bijective, continuous mapping between two "objects" (e.g. surfaces), the inverse mapping of which must be continuous too
 - Two objects are called **homeomorph** iff there is a homeomorphism between the two
- Note: don't confuse this with *homomorphism* or *homotopy*!
- Illustration:
 - Squishing, stretching, twisting is allowed
 - Making holes is *not* allowed
 - Cutting is allowed only, if the object is glued together afterwards at exactly the same place

- Homeomorph objects are also called **topologically equivalent**
- Examples:
 - Disc and square
 - Cup and torus
 - An object and its mirror object
 - *Trefoil knot* and ?
 - The border of the Möbius strip and ... ?

- All *convex* polyhedra are homeomorphic to a sphere
 - Many non-convex ones are topologically equivalent to the sphere, too




Trefoil knot



Two-Manifolds (Zwei-Mannigfaltigkeiten)

- Definition: a surface is called **two-manifold**, iff for each point on the surface there is an open ball such that the intersection of the ball and the surface is topologically equivalent to a two-dimensional disc
- Examples:




- Notice: in computer graphics, often the term "**manifold**" is used when 2-manifold is meant!
- The term "*piecewise linear manifold*" is sometimes used by people, to denote just a mesh ...



- Idea: common "vertex pool" (*shared vertices*)
- Example:


$vertices =$ $x_0 y_0 z_0$ $x_1 y_1 z_1$ $x_2 y_2 z_2$ $x_3 y_3 z_3$ \dots	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th><i>face</i></th> <th><i>vertex index</i></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>0</td> <td>0, 1, 5, 4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1</td> <td>0, 3, 7, 4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2</td> <td>4, 5, 6, 7</td> </tr> <tr> <td>...</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	<i>face</i>	<i>vertex index</i>	0	0, 1, 5, 4	1	0, 3, 7, 4	2	4, 5, 6, 7	...	
<i>face</i>	<i>vertex index</i>										
0	0, 1, 5, 4										
1	0, 3, 7, 4										
2	4, 5, 6, 7										
...											



- Advantage: significant memory savings
 - 1 vertex = 1 point + 1 vector (v.-normal) + uv-texture coord. = 32 bytes
 - 1 index = 1 integer = 4 bytes
- Deformable objects / animations are much easier
- Probably the most common data structure

The OBJ File Format

- OBJ = indexed face set + further features
- Line based ASCII format
- 1. Ordered list of vertices:
 - Introduced by "v" on the line
 - Spatial coordinates x, y, z
 - Index is given by the order in the file
- 2. Unordered list of polygons:
 - A polygon is introduced by "f"
 - Then, ordered list of vertex indices
 - Length of list = # of edges
 - Orientation is given by order of vertices
- In principle, "v" and "f" can be mixed arbitrarily




```

v x0 y0 z0
v x1 y1 z1
v x2 y2 z2
v x3 y3 z3

f 0 1 2
f 1 3 2
    
```

More Attributes

- Vertex normals:
 - prefix "vn"
 - contains x, y, z for the normalen
 - not necessarily normalized
 - not necessarily in the same in the same order as the vertices
 - indizes similar to vertex indices
- Texture coordinates:
 - prefix "vt"
 - not necessarily in the same in the same order as the vertices
 - Contains u,v texture coordinates
- Polygons:
 - use "/" as delimiter for the indices
 - vertex / normal / texture
 - normal and texture are optional
 - use "//" to omit normls, if only texture coords are given




- Problems:
 - Edges are (implicitly) stored two times
 - Still no adjacency information (no "topology")


- Consequence:
 - Finding all facets incident to a given vertex takes time $O(n)$, where $n = \#$ vertices of the mesh
 - Dito for finding all vertices adjacent to another given vertex
 - A complete mesh **traversal** takes time $O(n^2)$
 - With a mesh **traversal** you can, for instance, test whether an object is closed
 - Can be depth-first or breadth-first

Examples Where Adjacency Information is Needed


- Computing vertex normals



- Editing meshes



- Simulation, e.g., mass-spring systems




Example Application: Simplification

- **Simplification:** Generate a coarse mesh from a fine mesh
 - While maintaining certain criteria (will not be discussed further here)


- **Elementary operations:**

- **Edge collapse:**



- All edges adjacent to the edge are required


- **Vertex removal:**




- All edges incident to the vertex are needed

All Possible Connectivity Relationships


Given	Looking for	notation
	("all neighbours ..")	
1 Vertex	Vertices	$V \rightarrow V$
2 Vertex	Edges	$V \rightarrow E$
3 Vertex	Faces	$V \rightarrow F$
4 Edge	Vertices	$E \rightarrow V$
5 Edge	Edges	$E \rightarrow E$
6 Edge	Faces	$E \rightarrow F$
7 Face	Vertices	$F \rightarrow V$
8 Face	Edges	$F \rightarrow E$
9 Face	Faces	$F \rightarrow F$



Abstract notation of a data structure with all connectivity relationships: arrows show the incidence/adjacency info




- Example: the Indexed Face Set



- Question: What is the minimal data structure, that can answer all neighboring queries in time $O(1)$?


The Winged-Edge Data Structure

- Idea: **edge-based** data structure (in contrast to face-based)
- Observations:
 - An edge stores two indices to 2 vertices: $e.org$, $e.dest$
→ yields an orientation of the edge
 - In a closed polyhedron, each edge is incident to exactly 2 facets
 - If it is oriented, then one of these facets has the same orientation as the edge, the other one is opposite




- Each edge has 4 pointers to 4 adjacent edges:
 1. **e.prf** = edge adjacent to *e.dest* and incident to *right face*
(prf = "previous right face")
 2. **e.nrf** = edge adjacent to *e.org* and incident to *right face*
("next right face")
 - 3./4. **e.nlf** / **e.plf** = edge adjacent to *e* and incident to *left face* ("next/
previous left face")

- Observation: if all facets are oriented consistently, then each edge occurs once from $\text{org} \rightarrow \text{dest}$ and once from $\text{dest} \rightarrow \text{org}$



- In addition:
 - Each edge stores one pointer to the **left and right facet** (*e.lf, e.rf*)
 - Each facet & each vertex stores one pointer to a **arbitrary** edge incident to it


- Abstract representation of the data structure:





Example

Optional



List of vertices

<i>v</i>	<i>coord</i>			<i>e</i>
0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
1	1.0	0.0	0.0	1
2	1.0	1.0	0.0	2
3	0.0	1.0	0.0	3
4	0.0	0.0	1.0	8
5	1.0	0.0	1.0	9
6	1.0	1.0	1.0	10
7	0.0	1.0	1.0	11

Facets


0	e0	-
1	e8	-
2	e5	-
3	e6	-
4	e11	-
5	e8	+

List of edges

<i>e</i>	<i>org</i>	<i>dest</i>	<i>ncw</i>	<i>nccw</i>	<i>pcw</i>	<i>pccw</i>	<i>lf</i>	<i>rf</i>
0	v0	v1	e1	e5	e4	e3	f1	f0
1	v1	v2	e2	e6	e5	e0	f2	f0
2	v2	v3	e3	e7	e6	e1	f3	f0
3	v3	v0	e0	e4	e2	e7	f4	f0
4	v0	v4	e8	e11	e0	e3	f4	f1
5	v1	v5	e9	e8	e1	e0	f1	f2
6	v2	v6	e10	e9	e2	e1	f2	f3
7	v3	v7	e11	e10	e3	e2	f3	f4
8	v4	v5	e5	e9	e4	e11	f5	f1
9	v5	v6	e6	e10	e5	e8	f5	f2
10	v6	v7	e7	e11	e9	e6	f5	f3
11	v7	v4	e4	e8	e10	e7	f5	f4

Example for Traversing that Data Structure


- Example task: enumerate all edges of f_4 in CCW order:




Edge list

e	org	$dest$	ncw	$nccw$	pcw	$pccw$	lf	rf
0	v0	v1	e1	e5	e4	e3	f1	f0
1	v1	v2	e2	e6	e5	e0	f2	f0
2	v2	v3	e3	e7	e6	e1	f3	f0
3	v3	v0	e0	e4	e2	e7	f4	f0
4	v0	v4	e8	e11	e0	e3	f4	f1
5	v1	v5	e9	e8	e1	e0	f1	f2
6	v2	v6	e10	e9	e2	e1	f2	f3
7	v3	v7	e11	e10	e3	e2	f3	f4
8	v4	v5	e5	e9	e4	e11	f5	f1
9	v5	v6	e6	e10	e5	e8	f5	f2
10	v6	v7	e7	e11	e9	e6	f5	f3
11	v7	v4	e4	e8	e10	e7	f5	f4


$f_4 \rightarrow e_{11} / \text{"-"} :$




\rightarrow pccw



\rightarrow pccw



\rightarrow nccw




\rightarrow nccw


Finish

- All neighborhood/connectivity queries can be answered in time $O(k)$ where (k = size of the output)
 - 3 kinds of queries can be answered directly in $O(1)$, and 6 kinds of queries can be answered by a local traversal of the data structures around a facet or a vertex in $O(k)$
- Problem: When following edges, one has to test for each edge *how it is oriented*, in order to determine whether to follow *$n[c]cw$ or $p[c]cw$* !


- In computer graphics rather known as *"half-edge data structure"*
- Arguably the easiest and most efficient connectivity data structure
- Idea:
 - Like the winged-edge DS, but with "split" edges
 - One half-edge (= entry in the edge table) represents only one direction and one "side" of the complete edge
 - The pointers stored with each half-edge:
 - Start (**org**) and end vertex (**dest**)
 - Incident **face** (on the left-hand side)
 - **Next** und **previous** edge (in traversal order)
 - (Originating vertex can be omitted, because $e.org = e.twin.dest$)



- Abstract notation:
 - 1 or 2 pointers to vertices per edge, depending on whether or not a pointer to the originating vertex (org) is stored with e
- Requires twice as many entries in the edge table as the winged-edge DS



Example (Here in CW Order!)



List of Vertices

v	coord			e
0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
1	1.0	0.0	0.0	1
2	1.0	1.0	0.0	2
3	0.0	1.0	0.0	3
4	0.0	0.0	1.0	4
5	1.0	0.0	1.0	9
6	1.0	1.0	1.0	13
7	0.0	1.0	1.0	16

Facets


0	e20
1	e4
2	e0
3	e15
4	e16
5	e8

List of Half-Edges

e	org	next	prv	twin	e	org	next	prv	twin
0	0	1	3	6	12	2	13	15	10
1	1	2	0	11	13	6	14	12	22
2	2	3	1	15	14	7	15	13	19
3	3	0	2	18	15	3	12	14	2
4	4	5	7	20	16	7	17	19	21
5	5	6	4	8	17	4	18	16	7
6	1	7	5	0	18	0	19	17	3
7	0	4	6	17	19	3	16	18	14
8	1	9	11	5	20	5	21	23	4
9	5	10	8	23	21	4	22	20	16
10	6	11	9	12	22	7	23	21	13
11	2	8	10	1	23	6	20	22	9

Also note the demo on <http://www.holmes3d.net/graphics/dcel/>

- Visualization for a quad mesh:



Invariants in a DCEL


- Here, we will use the "functional notation", i.e.,
 $\text{twin}(e) = e.\text{twin}$
- Invariants (= axioms in an Abstract Data Type "DCEL"):
 - $\text{twin}(\text{twin}(e)) = e$, if the mesh is closed
 - $\text{org}(\text{next}(e)) = \text{dest}(e)$
 - $\text{org}(e) = \text{dest}(\text{twin}(e))$ [if $\text{twin}(e)$ is existing]
 - $\text{org}(v.\text{edge}) = v$ [v always points to a leaving edge!]
 - etc. ...

Face and Vertex Cycling

- Given: a closed, 2-manifold mesh
- Wanted: all vertices incident to a given face f
- Algorithm:

```

e_start = f.edge
e = e_start
repeat
    output e.dest
    e = e.next
until e == e_start
    
```




- Running time is in $O(k)$, with $k = \#$ vertices of f

- Task: report all vertices adjacent to a given vertex v
- Algorithm (w.l.o.g., v points to a leaving edge):

```

e_start ← v.edge
e ← e_start
repeat
  output e.dest
  e ← e.twin.next
until e == e_start
  
```




- Running time is in $O(k)$, where $k = \#$ neighbours of v

- Terminology: a **feature** = a vertex or an edge or a facet

- Theorem:
 A DCEL over a 2-manifold mesh supports **all** incidence and adjacency queries for a given feature in time $O(1)$ or $O(k)$, where $k = \#$ neighbours.


- Crucial property:
 the DCEL must be proper



Courtney Gibbons 2007

Limitations / Extensions of the DCEL

- A DCEL can store only meshes that are ...
 1. two-manifold and
 2. orientable, and
 3. the polygons of which do not have "holes"!



- Extensions: lots of them, e.g. those of Hervé Brönnimann
 - For non-2-manifold vertices, store several pointers to incident edges
 - Dito for facets with holes
 - Yields several **cycles** of edges for such vertices/faces


A DCEL Data Structure for Non-2-Manifolds

- **Directed Edge DS:** extension of half-edge DS for meshes that are not 2-manifold at just a few extraordinary places



- **Idea:**
 - Store pointers to other edges (e.next, e.prev, v.edge, f.edge) as integer indices into the edge array
 - Use the *sign* of the index as a flag for additional information
 - Interpret negative indices as pointers into additional arrays, e.g.,
 - a list of all edges emanating from a vertex; or
 - the connected component accessible from a vertex / edge

- Why does the conventional DCEL fail for the following example?



Combinatorial Maps

- Remark: *winged-edge* and *DCEL* data structures are (simple) examples of so-called **combinatorial maps**
- Other combinatorial maps are:
 - Quad-edge data structure (and *augmented quad-edge*)
 - Many extensions of DCEL
 - Cell-chains, n -Gmaps
(like DCELs that can be extended to n -dimensional space)
 - Many more ...

The Euler Equation




- Theorem (Euler's Equation):

Let V , E , F = number of vertices, edges, faces
in a polyhedron that is homeomorph to a sphere.

Then,

$$V - E + F = 2$$

- Examples:




Proof (given by Cauchy)

- Given: a closed mesh (Polyhedron)
- First idea:
 - Remove one facet (yields an open mesh; the border is exactly the edge cycle of the removed facet)
 - Stretch the mesh by pulling its border apart until it becomes a planar graph (works only if the polyhedron is homeomorph to a sphere)
 - It remains to show:

$$V - E + F = 1$$

- Second idea: triangulate the graph (i.e., the mesh)
 - Draw diagonals in all facets with more than 3 vertices
 - For the new feature count we have

$$V' - E' + F' = V - (E + 1) + (F + 1) = V - E + F$$



- The graph has a border; triangles have 0, 1, or 2 "border edges"
- Repeat one of the following two transformations:

- If there is a triangle with exactly one border edge, remove this triangle ; it follows that



$$V' - E' + F' = V - (E - 1) + (F - 1) = V - E + F$$

- If there is a triangle with exactly two border edges, remove the triangle ; it follows that

$$V' - E' + F' = (V - 1) - (E - 2) + (F - 1) = V - E + F$$

- Repeat, until only one triangle remains

- For that triangle, the Euler equation is obviously correct
 - Because each of the above transformations did not change the value of $V-E+F$, the equation is also true for the original graph, hence for the original mesh



Application of Euler's Equation to Meshes

- Euler's Equation \rightarrow relationship between #triangles and #vertices in a closed *triangle* mesh
- In a closed triangle mesh, each edge is incident to exactly 2 triangles , so


$$3F = 2E$$

- Plug this into Euler's equation:

$$2 = V - \frac{3}{2}F + F \Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{2}F = V - 2$$

- Therefore, for large *triangle* meshes

$$F \approx 2V$$



Application of Euler's Equation to the Platonic Solids






- Definition **Platonic Solid**:

A convex polyhedron consisting of a number of *congruent & regular* polygons, with the same number of faces meeting at each vertex.

- **Regular** polygon = all sides are equal, all angles are equal

- Theorem (Euklid):

There are exactly *five* platonic solids.



- All facets have the same number of edges = n ; therefore:

$$2E = nF \Leftrightarrow F = \frac{2}{n}E$$

- All vertices have the same number of incident edges = m ; therefore

$$2E = mV \Leftrightarrow V = \frac{2}{m}E$$

- Plugging this into Euler's equation:

$$2 = V - E + F = \frac{2}{m}E - E + \frac{2}{n}E \Leftrightarrow \frac{2}{E} = \frac{2}{m} - 1 + \frac{2}{n}$$


- Yields the following condition on m and n :

$$\frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{n} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{E} > \frac{1}{2}$$

- Additional condition: m and n both must be ≥ 3

- Which $\{m,n\}$ fulfill these conditions:

$\{3,3\}$ $\{3,4\}$ $\{4,3\}$ $\{5,3\}$ $\{3,5\}$





Digression: Platonic Solids in the Arts



- The platonic solids have been known at least 1000 years before Plato in Scotland





*Portrait of Johannes Neudörfer and his Son
Nicolas Neufchatel, 1527–1590*




Dürer: Melencolia I

The Euler Characteristic


- Caution: the Euler equation holds only for polyhedra, that are topologically equivalent to a sphere!
- Examples:

Tetrahemihexahedron




$$6 - 12 + 7 = 1$$

Octahemioctahedron



$$12 - 24 + 12 = 0$$

Cubohemioctahedron



$$12 - 24 + 10 = -2$$


V-E+F

- But: the quantity $V-E+F$ stays the same no matter how the polyhedron is deformed (homeomorph)
 → so the quantity $V-E+F$ is a **topologic invariant**


- Definition Euler characteristic:

$$\chi = V - E + F$$


- Examples:




2




0




-2



-4




0




0


- The Euler characteristic is even independent of the tessellation!




$$\begin{aligned} V &= 16 \\ E &= 32 \\ F &= 16 \\ \chi &= 0 \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned} V &= 16 \\ E &= 36 \\ F &= 20 \\ \chi &= 0 \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned} V &= 28 \\ E &= 56 \\ F &= 26 \\ \chi &= -2 \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned} V &= 24 \\ E &= 48 \\ F &= 22 \\ \chi &= -2 \end{aligned}$$

- Theorem:

Assume we are given a *closed* and *orientable* mesh consisting of just one *shell*. Then the following holds:

The Euler characteristic $\chi = 2, 0, -2, \dots \Leftrightarrow$


the mesh is topologically equivalent to a sphere, a torus, a double torus, etc. ...

The Euler-Poincaré Equation

- Generalization of the Euler equation for 2-manifold, closed surfaces (possibly with several components):

$$V - E + F = 2(S - G)$$


- $G = \#$ handles, $S = \#$ shells (Schalen / Komponenten)
- G is called "Genus"
- **Handle (hole, Loch):** a piece of string inside a handle cannot be shrunk towards a single point
- **Shell (Schale):** by walking on the surface of a *shell*, each point can be reached
- We can even cut out so-called "voids" (Aushöhlungen) by "inner" shells
- There are many more generalizations!



■ Examples:


- $V = 16, E = 28, F = 14, S = 1, G = 0:$

$$V - E + F = 2 = 2(S - G)$$




- $V = 16, E = 32, F = 16, S = 1, G = 1:$

$$V - E + F = 0 = 2(S - G)$$




- $V = 16+8, E = 32+12, F = 16+6, G = 1, S = 2:$


$$V - E + F = 2 = 2(S - G)$$



- Beware: sometimes it is not easy to determine the genus!
- Example: genus = 2



- "Proof": deform topologically equivalently, until the genus is obvious



1.



2.



3.

- What is the genus of this object?

